

February 15, 1983

LB 44, 87, 466A, 499, 178A

CLERK: Mr. President, Senator Fenger would like to print amendments to LB 87 in the Legislative Journal. (See pages 516-517 of the Journal.) The Education Committee will meet in Executive Session tomorrow morning in Room 1517. Education Committee at eight o'clock tomorrow morning. I have notice of hearing from the Ag and Environment Committee and that is signed by Senator Haberman; Attorney General's Opinion addressed to Senator DeCamp. (See page 515 of the Legislative Journal.)

New A bill, LB 466A offered by Senator Labedz. (Read title for the first time as found on page 515 of the Legislative Journal.)

Mr. President, I have a report filed regarding payment of temporary legislative employees. Pursuant to our rules, that will be in the Journal. (See page 515 of the Journal.)

Your committee on Enrollment and Review respectfully reports they have carefully examined and reviewed LB 178A and recommend that same be placed on Select File.

Mr. President, Senator Haberman would move to withdraw LB 499. That will be laid over.

PRESIDENT: LB 44.

CLERK: Mr. President, LB 44 was a bill introduced by Senator Peter Hoagland. (Read title.) The bill has been considered by the Legislature, Mr. President, at one time. I have pending a series of amendments.

PRESIDENT: We will proceed to the discussion of LB 44. The Chair recognizes Senator Hoagland.

SENATOR HOAGLAND: Mr. President and colleagues, about twenty minutes ago why each of you received at your desk a memorandum from me describing the three sets of statutes that are being repealed in this obsolete statute bill including all the supporting data explaining what the statutes consist of, and where the recommendation for repeals come from. I would suggest you review that. Two of the sections of statutes were suggested by former Commissioner of Education, Anne Campbell, in response to a letter that I sent a number of state agencies in the fall, and the third is recommended by Charles Benson, the Director of the State Department of Correctional Services. Now I think that this attachment fully explains the need for repealing these sections. There are about ten to fifteen involved altogether. I would encourage you to review that and if you have questions of any